УДК 517.982.22

Banach spaces of functions with the uniform Dini property

## Le Minh Can

V.N. Karazin Kharkov National University

For a metric space K we define by D(K) the space of all functions on K, whose modulus of continuity is satisfied the Dini condition at 0. We prove that D(K) is dual if K is compact and D(K) is separable if K is a convex compact subset of a Banach space. Other properties are studied for the special case when K = [0, 1].

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 42A70

# 1. Introduction

The famous Dini theorem says that for a continuous function  $f:(0,2\pi)\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfying the Dini condition at a point  $t_0 \in (0, 2\pi)$  its Fourier series converges to  $f(t_0)$  at the point  $t_0$ . Consequently if the modulus of continuity of a periodic function fulfills the Dini condition at 0, then the corresponding Fourier series converges to the original function at all points. In this paper we introduce and study the natural Banach space D(K) of functions which arise from the above remark. The space definition makes sense on a general setting of continuous functions on arbitrary metric compact K. At first we describe the behaviour of Fourier series for  $f \in D(\mathbb{T})$ : we show that it converges uniformly, but not necessarily in the norm of  $D(\mathbb{T})$ . On the other hand, the theorem on Cesaro convergence holds true in  $D(\mathbb{T})$  norm in its full strength. We show that  $D(\mathbb{T})$  is non-reflexive and moreover contains a copy of  $\ell_1$ . To do this we perform a study of D(K) for a very special  $K = \{t_1, t_2, ...\} \subset [0, 1]$ , where  $(t_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  behaves like a geometric progression. In this special case we prove that D(K) has the Schur property, but has no cotype. Then we pass to the properties of D(K) as a Banach space. We show that D(K) is dual if K is compact and D(K) is separable if K is a convex compact subset of a Banach space.

#### 2. Basic definitions and notation

Now we introduce the following notion of the Dini space.

**Definition 1** Let K be a metric space,  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a real function. The modulus of continuity  $\overline{f}(r)$  of the function f is defined by

$$\bar{f}(r) = \sup\{|f(t) - f(\tau)| : t, \tau \in K, \rho(t, \tau) \le r\}.$$

Denote  $||f||_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{f}(r)}{r} dr$ ,  $||f||_\infty = \sup\{|f(t)| : t \in K\}$ ,  $||f|| = \max\{||f||_0, ||f||_\infty\}$ . We call the space

$$D(K) = \{f: K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|f\| < \infty\}$$

with the norm  $\|.\|$  the Dini space.

Recall the following well-known definitions.

**Definition 2** A sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in a Banach space X is called a basic sequence if it is a basis for  $[x_n]_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

**Definition 3** Let  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a basis for a Banach space X. Suppose that  $(p_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{N}$  is a strictly increasing sequence of integers with  $p_0 = 0$  and that  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are scalars. Then a sequence of nonzero vectors  $(u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in X of the form

$$u_n = \sum_{p_{n-1}+1}^{p_n} a_j e_j$$

is called a block basic sequence of  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

It is well-known that every block basic sequence  $(u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a basic sequence.

**Definition 4** A Banach space X has M-cotype r with constant C > 0 if the inequality

$$\max\left\{\left\|\sum \alpha_{i} x_{i}\right\| : \alpha_{i} = \pm 1\right\} \ge C\left(\sum \|x_{i}\|^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

holds for any finite collection of elements  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ .

It is well-known that M-cotype is an isomorphic invariant and the space  $\ell_1$  has M-cotype r = 2(see [2]).

## **3.** Fourier series in $D(\mathbb{T})$

Denote by  $D(\mathbb{T})$  the subspace of  $D[0, 2\pi]$  consisting of functions satisfying the condition  $f(0) = f(2\pi)$ .

Let f be an absolutely integrable function on  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Then the n-th partial sum of its Fourier series at a point x equals

$$(S_n f)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t+x) \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dt.$$

Let  $\varphi(t, x) = f(t + x) - f(x)$ , then

$$(S_n f)(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi(t, x) \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dt.$$

**Theorem 1 (Dini's criterion)** (see [3], Section 699) Let f be a continuous function on  $[0, 2\pi]$  and  $f(0) = f(2\pi)$ . If there exists h > 0 such that for all  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$  the integral  $\int_0^h \frac{|\varphi(t,x)|}{t} dt$  converges uniformly relatively to x then the Fourier series of f coverges to f uniformly.

If  $f \in D(\mathbb{T})$  then  $\varphi(t, x) \leq \overline{f}(t)$ . But  $\int_0^1 \frac{\overline{f}(t)}{t} dt < \infty$ , therefore the Fourier series of f converges to it uniformly, i.e.  $\|S_n f - f\|_{\infty} \to 0$ .

**Theorem 2** There exists a function  $f \in D(\mathbb{T})$  such that the Fourier series of f does not converge to f in the norm of  $D(\mathbb{T})$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $S_n$  the operator of the n-th partial sum of the Fourier series. By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, it is sufficient to show that  $\sup\{||S_n||_0 : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = +\infty$ . Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and set  $\delta = \frac{\pi}{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then  $\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t = 0$  for all  $t = k\delta$ ,  $k \in [-n, n] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ .

We will discuss the case when n is an even integer. We build on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  an odd piece-wise linear function  $f_n$  as follows:

$$f_n(t) = \begin{cases} (t - 2j\delta), & \text{if } t \in [2j\delta, (2j + 1)\delta] \\ -[t - (2j + 2)], & \text{if } t \in [(2j + 1)\delta, (2j + 2)\delta] \end{cases}$$

where j is from zero to  $\frac{n-2}{2}$  and  $f_n(t) = 0$  if  $t \in [n\delta, \pi]$ . Put  $f_n(-t) = -f_n(t)$  for  $t \ge 0$ . By the construction, we have

$$||f_n||_0 = \int_0^{2\delta} dr + \int_{2\delta}^1 \frac{2\delta}{r} dr = 2\delta(1 + \ln\frac{1}{2\delta}).$$

Let  $r \in [0, \frac{\delta}{2}]$ . Notice that  $\left(f_n(t+\frac{r}{2}) - f_n(t-\frac{r}{2})\right) \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} \ge 0$  on  $[j\delta, (j+1)\delta]$ and  $[f_n(t+\frac{r}{2}) - f_n(t-\frac{r}{2})] = r$  on  $[j\delta + \frac{r}{2}, (j+1)\delta - \frac{r}{2}]$ , therefore

$$\overline{S_n f_n}(r) \geq (S_n f_n)(\frac{r}{2}) - (S_n f_n)(\frac{-r}{2}) \\
\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=-n}^{n-1} \int_{j\delta}^{(j+1)\delta} \left( f_n(t+\frac{r}{2}) - f_n(t-\frac{r}{2}) \right) \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dt - \\
- \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-(n+\frac{1}{2})\delta}^{-n\delta} r \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{n\delta}^{(n+\frac{1}{2})\delta} r \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\sin\frac{1}{2}t} dt \\
\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=-n}^{n-1} \int_{j\delta+\frac{r}{2}}^{(j+1)\delta-\frac{r}{2}} \left( f_n(t+\frac{r}{2}) - f_n(t-\frac{r}{2}) \right) \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{\frac{1}{2}t} dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \delta r \\
\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=-n}^{n-1} r(\delta-r) \frac{1}{|j+\frac{1}{2}|\delta} - \frac{1}{\pi} \delta r \geq M\frac{r}{\pi} \ln n,$$

where M > 0 is some constant not depending on n. Hence

$$||S_n f_n||_0 \ge M \int_0^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \frac{1}{\pi} \ln n dr + M \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^1 \frac{\frac{\delta}{2\pi} \ln n}{r} dr = \frac{M\delta \ln n}{2\pi} (1 + \ln \frac{2}{\delta}).$$

Therefore  $||S_n|| \ge \frac{||S_n f_n||_0}{||f_n||} \sim \ln n \to \infty$ . The theorem is proved.

Cesaro's n-th average is defined as :

$$(\sigma_n f)(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (S_n f)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t+x) F_n(t) dt$$

where  $F_n(t) = \frac{1}{\pi n} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{nt}{2}}{\sin \frac{t}{2}}\right)^2$  is the Fejer kernel. Notice that  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_n(t) dt = 1$ .

**Theorem 3 (Fejer)** (see [3], Section 743) Let f be a continuous, periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the period  $2\pi$ . Then  $(\sigma_n f)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly to f.

**Theorem 4** Let  $f \in D[0, 2\pi]$  and  $f(0) = f(2\pi)$ . Then  $(\sigma_n f)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to f in the norm of  $D[0, 2\pi]$ .

*Proof.* By the previous theorem it is sufficient to show that  $(\sigma_n f)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to f in the norm  $\|.\|_0$ . Denote  $\xi_n(x) = (\sigma_n f)(x) - f(x)$ , then for all  $x, y : |x-y| \le r$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_n(x) - \xi_n(y)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( f(x+t) + f(y) - f(x) - f(y+t) \right) F_n(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq 2\bar{f}(r) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_n(t) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &= 2\bar{f}(r), \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $\overline{\xi_n}(r) \leq 2\overline{f}(r)$ . Since  $\|\xi_n\|_{\infty} \to 0$ , the sequence of functions  $\frac{\overline{\xi_n}(r)}{r}$  converges to zero almost everywhere on [0, 1]. By Lebesgue's theorem  $\int_0^1 \frac{\overline{\xi_n}(r)}{r} \to 0$ , i.e.  $\|\xi_n\|_0 \to 0$ .

### 4. The space D(K) and isomorphic properties of D[0,1].

At first, we show that the space D(K) is dual if K is compact, i.e. there exists a Banach space Y such that  $Y^* = D(K)$ . To do this, we need the following well-known theorem.

**Theorem 5** Let X be a Banach space and  $\tau$  be a locally convex topology on X, which is weaker than the norm topology. If the closed unit ball  $B_X$  of X is  $\tau$ -compact then X is a dual space.

This theorem can be deduced from the bipolar theorem. In fact, if we denote  $Y = \{f \in X^* : f \text{ is continuous in the topology } \tau\}$  considered as a subspace of  $X^*$ , then X can be identified with the space  $Y^*$  and  $\sigma(X, Y)$  coincides with  $\tau$  on  $B_X$ .

## **Theorem 6** If K is compact then D(K) is a dual space.

Proof. On D(K) consider the topology  $\tau$  generated by the norm  $\|.\|_{\infty}$ . We will show that the closed unit ball  $B_{D(K)}$  of D(K) is  $\tau$ -compact. Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B_{D(K)}$ be such a sequence that converges to some function  $f \in C(K)$  in the norm  $\|.\|_{\infty}$ . Then  $(\bar{f}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges point-wise to  $\bar{f}$ . By Fatou's lemma,  $f \in B_{D(K)}$  and therefore  $B_{D(K)}$  is  $\tau$ -closed.

Suppose that  $B_{D(K)}$  is not pre-compact in the topology  $\tau$ . Then by Arzela's theorem

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 : \forall \delta > 0, \exists \{t, \tau\} \subset K, \rho(t, \tau) < \delta, \exists f \in B_{D(K)}, |f(t) - f(\tau)| \ge \varepsilon$$

Hence  $\bar{f}(r) \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $r \geq \delta$  and  $||f||_0 \geq \int_{\delta}^1 \frac{\varepsilon}{r} dr = \varepsilon \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$ . Since  $\delta$  is arbitrary, there exists a function  $f \in B_{D(K)}$  such that  $||f||_0 > 1$ , which is impossible. It follows that  $B_{D(K)}$  is  $\tau$ -compact and by the previous theorem D(K) is a dual space.

By the remark made before the previous theorem, D(K) is the dual space to the space M(K) of all regular Borel measures in K equiped with the norm from  $D(K)^*$ .

**Theorem 7** For a bounded sequence  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in D(K) the following three conditions are equivalent:

- 1.  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly.
- 2.  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges point-wise.
- 3.  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges in the topology  $\sigma(D(K), M(K))$ .

*Proof.* The reason for this is that  $B_{D(K)}$  is a uniform compact, so every weaker Hausdorff topology on  $B_{D(K)}$  coincides with the uniform one.

From this place to the end of this section we will denote

$$T = \{t_n : t_n \in [0,1], t_\infty = 0, t_1 = 1, t_n > t_{n+1}, \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = 0\}.$$

Obviously, the space D(T) can be identified with a subspace of D[0, 1].

**Theorem 8** If there exist  $c \ge d > 1$  such that  $d \le \frac{t_n}{t_{n+1}} \le c$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then on the subspace  $D_0(T) = \{f \in D(T) : f(0) = 0\}$  the norm  $\|.\|$  is equivalent to the norm  $\|.\|_1$ :

$$||f||_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup\{|f(t_j)| : j \ge n\}$$

*Proof.* For an arbitrary integer j < n we have

$$t_{n-j} - t_{n+1-j} \ge (d-1)t_{n+1-j} \ge d^j(d-1)t_{n+1}.$$

Since d > 1, there exists  $j_0 = j_0(d)$  such that  $t_{n-j} - t_{n+1-j} > t_{n+1}$  for all  $j \ge j_0$ . Hence  $\overline{f}(t_{n+1}) \le 2 \sup\{|f(t_i)| : i \ge n+1-j_0\}$ . By the definition

$$||f||_{0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n}} \frac{\overline{f}(r)}{r} dr \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{f}(t_{n}) \ln \frac{t_{n}}{t_{n+1}}$$
  
$$\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 \sup_{i \geq n} |f(t_{i})| + \sum_{n=1}^{j_{0}} \overline{f}(t_{n})\right) \ln c \leq 2(j_{0}+1) \ln c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{i \geq n} |f(t_{i})|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|f\|_{0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_{n+1}}^{t_{n}} \frac{\overline{f}(r)}{r} dr \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{f}(t_{n+1}) \ln \frac{t_{n}}{t_{n+1}} \ge \ln d \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{i \ge n+1} |f(t_{i})|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{0} &\geq \int_{t_{1}-t_{2}}^{1} \frac{\overline{f}(r)}{r} dr \geq \int_{t_{1}-t_{2}}^{1} \frac{|f(t_{1}) - f(t_{2})|}{r} dr \\ &= |f(t_{1}) - f(t_{2})| \ln \frac{t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} \geq \left(|f(t_{1})| - |f(t_{2})|\right) \ln \frac{c}{c+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$3\|f\|_{0} + \frac{\ln d}{\ln \frac{c}{c-1}}\|f\|_{0} \geq \ln d \Big( 3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{i \geq n+1} |f(t_{i})| + |f(t_{1})| - |f(t_{2})| \Big)$$
  
$$\geq \ln d \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{i \geq n} |f(t_{i})|.$$

Hence  $\|.\|_0$  and  $\|.\|_1$  are equivalent. From this it is easy to see that  $\|.\|$  is equivalent to  $\|.\|_1$  on  $D_0(T)$ .

Denote  $D_0 = \{x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ..) : ||x|| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{j \ge n} |x_j| < \infty\}$  and by  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  the canonical basis of  $D_0$ . Obviously,  $D_0$  is isomorphic to the space  $D_0(K)$  and it can be considered as a subspace of D[0, 1].

**Theorem 9**  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a basis for  $D_0$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $S_n$  the operator of the n-th partial sum:

$$S_n x = S_n(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ..) = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, 0, 0, ..)$$

and let us show that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x - S_n x|| = 0.$ 

Notice that  $(||x - S_n x||)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a non-increasing sequence. Therefore, if there exists  $x \in D_0$  such that  $(||x - S_n x||)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  does not converge to zero, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $||x - S_n x|| \ge \varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In detail:

$$||x - S_n x|| = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sup_{j \ge k} |x_j| + n \sup_{j \ge n} |x_j| \ge \varepsilon$$

Since the first summand converges to zero as  $n \to \infty$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sup_{j\geq n} |x_j| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2n}$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Therefore

$$\|x\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{j \ge n} |x_j| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = +\infty,$$

which is impossible since  $x \in D_0$ .

**Theorem 10** Let  $(u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a normalized block basic sequence in  $D_0$ ,  $u_n = \sum_{p_{n-1}+1}^{p_n} a_j e_j$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $(u_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  which is equivalent to the canonical basis of  $\ell_1$ .

*Proof.* By the definition,

$$||u_n|| = p_{n-1} \max_{p_{n-1}+1 \le j \le p_n} |a_j| + \sum_{j=p_{n-1}+1}^{p_n} \max_{k \ge j} |a_k|.$$

Denote  $\alpha_n = \max_{p_{n-1}+1 \le j \le p_n} |a_j|$  and  $\beta_n = \sum_{\substack{j=p_{n-1}+1 \\ k \ge j}}^{p_n} \max_{k \ge j} |a_k|$ . Then  $p_{n-1}\alpha_n \le ||u_n|| \le p_n\alpha_n$ . Since  $||u_n|| = 1$ , we have that

$$\frac{1}{p_n} \le \alpha_n \le \frac{1}{p_{n-1}}.$$

For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we choose  $(p_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  so that  $p_{n_1} = p_1$  and  $p_{n_k} \leq \varepsilon p_{n_{(k+1)}-1}$ . Denote  $u_{n_k} = \sum_{j=(p_{n_k}-1+1)}^{p_{n_k}} a_j e_j$  and put  $M = [u_{n_k}]_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . We will show that M is isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ . Define an operator G from M to  $\ell_1$  by  $Gx = G \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k u_{n_k} = (x_1, x_2, ...)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &\geq |x_1| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( (p_{n_k-1} - p_{n_{k-1}}) \alpha_{n_k} + \beta_{n_k} \right) |x_k| \\ &= |x_1| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( 1 - p_{n_{k-1}} \alpha_{n_k} \right) |x_k| \geq |x_1| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon) |x_k| \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| = (1 - \varepsilon) \|Tx\|, \end{aligned}$$

operator G is correctly defined (i.e.  $Gx \in \ell_1$ ) and continuous. On the other hand,

$$||x|| = ||\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j u_{n_j}|| \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j| = ||Tx||.$$

It is easy to see that the set GM is dense in  $\ell_1$ . Therefore G is an isomorphism between M and  $\ell_1$ .

We will show that in  $D_0$  the norm convergence coincides with the weak convergence. For that, we need the following theorem.

**Theorem 11 (The Bessaga-Pełczyński selection principle)** (see [1], Prop. 1.3.10) Let  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a basis for a Banach space X with dual functionals  $(e_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Suppose  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in X such that

1.  $\inf_n ||x_n|| > 0$  and

2.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} e_k^*(x_n) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  contains a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  which is equivalent to some block basic sequence of  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ 

**Theorem 12** In the space  $D_0$  the norm convergence coincides with the weak convergence.

Proof. Assume that there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset D_0$  such that  $x_n$  weakly converges to zero but does not converge to zero in the norm. By passing to a subsequence, we can suppose that  $\inf_n ||x_n|| = \varepsilon > 0$ . Denote  $S = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $0 \notin \overline{S}^{\|\cdot\|}$  and  $0 \in \overline{S}^{weak}$ . By the previous theorem, S contains a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  equivalent to some block basic sequence of  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Therefore without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a block basic sequence of  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

Denote  $y_k = \frac{1}{\|x_{n_k}\|} x_{n_k}$ . This sequence contains a subsequence  $(y_{k_j})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  equivalent to the canonical basis of  $\ell_1$ . Therefore  $(y_{k_j})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  does not converge weakly to zero. On the other hand, for any  $f \in D_0^*$  we have

$$|f(y_{k_j})| = |\frac{1}{\|x_{n_{k_j}}\|} f(x_{n_{k_j}})| \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f(x_{n_{k_j}})| \to 0.$$

It means that  $(y_{k_j})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  weakly converges to zero, which is impossible.

The next theorem shows that although  $D_0$  in some sense is similar to  $\ell_1$ , it is not isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ .

**Theorem 13** The space  $D_0$  does not have M-cotype r for any r > 0.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D_0$  has M-cotype r > 0 for some C > 0. Consider the sequence  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}e_n$ . We have  $\left(\sum_{j=1}^n ||x_j||^r\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = n^{\frac{1}{r}}$  and

$$\max\{\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j x_j\| : \alpha_j = \pm 1\} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j}.$$

Therefore  $C \le n^{-\frac{1}{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence C = 0.

# 5. The separability problem

**Theorem 14** If K is not pre-compact then D(K) has a subspace isomorphic to  $\ell_{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* Since K is not pre-compact, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a sequence  $(t_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset K$  such that  $\rho(t_n, t_m) > \varepsilon$  for all  $n \neq m$ . Denote  $B_n = \{t \in K : \rho(t, t_n) < \varepsilon/4\}$ . For every  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_{\infty}$  we build  $f_{\alpha}$  as follows:

$$f_{\alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha_n(\varepsilon/4 - \rho(t, t_n)), & \text{if } t \in B_n \\ 0, & \text{if } t \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \end{cases}$$

Then  $f_{\alpha} \in D(K)$  and it is easy to see that the map  $\alpha \longmapsto f_{\alpha}$  is an isomorphism between  $\ell_{\infty}$  and the subspace  $\overline{Lin}\{f_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \ell_{\infty}\}$  of the space D(K).

By this theorem, in particular, we obtain that if K is not pre-compact then the space D(K) is not separable. We will prove that if K is a convex compact in a Banach space then D(K) is separable.

**Theorem 15** If  $K_n = \left\{ x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_k \in [0, 1], k = \overline{1, n} \right\}$  then  $D(K_n)$  is separable.

*Proof.* We will show that for a given n there exists a countable subset  $G_n \subset D(K_n)$  such that for every  $f \in D(K_n)$  there exists  $(f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset G_n$  with

the following properties :

1.  $\bar{f}_k(r) \leq \alpha_n r + \beta_n \bar{f}(r)$ , where  $\alpha_n, \beta_n$  are constants depending only on n. 2.  $f_k \to f$  uniformly.

From these it will follow that  $f_k \to f$  in  $D(K_n)$  and therefore  $G_n$  is dense in  $D(K_n)$ . We will show that by induction. Firstly, consider the case n = 1.

Let  $[0,1] = \bigcup_{i=0} [t_j, t_{j+1}]$ , where  $t_j = \frac{j}{N}$ . For a given  $f \in D[0,1]$  we build a  $\substack{j=0}$  piece-wise linear function  $f_N$  as follows :

$$f_N(t) = \frac{f(t_{j+1}) - f(t_j)}{t_{j+1} - t_j} (t - t_j) + f(t_j) \quad \text{if } t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$$

Then  $f_N \to f$  uniformly on [0,1] and it is easy to see that  $\bar{f}_N(r) \leq 5\bar{f}(r)$ . We define  $f_{N,k}$  as a piece-wise linear function, taking rational values at points  $t_j$  and  $|f_{N,k}(t_j) - f_N(t_j)| \le \frac{1}{k}$ . Then  $\overline{(f_{N,k} - f_N)}(r) \le \frac{2r}{k}N$ . Hence  $\overline{f_{N,k}}(r) \le \frac{2r}{k}N + 5\overline{f}(r)$ . Therefore the sequence  $(f_{N,N})_{N=1}^{\infty}$  satisfies conditions 1 and 2. We can take  $G_1$  as the set of all piece-wise linear functions with nodes at  $\frac{j}{N}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , j = 1, 2, ..., Nand taking at points  $\frac{j}{N}$  rational values.

Suppose that the set  $G_n$  exists in the space  $D(K_n)$ . We will show the existence of  $G_{n+1}$  in  $D(K_{n+1})$ . For convenience, denote  $x = (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  where  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $f \in D(K_{n+1})$ . Define the function  $f_N$  as follows: on every interval  $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ if  $t = \alpha t_j + (1 - \alpha t_{j+1})$  then  $f_N(y, t) = \alpha f(y, t_j) + (1 - \alpha) f(y, t_{j+1})$ . It is not difficult to see that  $\overline{f_N}(r) \leq 6\overline{f}(r)$  and  $f_N \to f$  uniformly.

By the assumption, for every  $t_j$  there exists an approximation  $g_{j,k}(y)$  of the function  $f(y,t_j)$  such that  $g_{j,k}(y) \to f(y,t_j)$  uniformly and  $\overline{g_{j,k}}(r) \leq \alpha_n r +$  $\beta_n \overline{f(.,t_i)}(r) \leq \alpha_n r + \beta_n \overline{f}(r)$ . Build  $f_{N,k}(y,t)$ :

$$f_{N,k}(y, \alpha t_j + (1 - \alpha)t_{j+1}) = \alpha g_{j,k}(y) + (1 - \alpha)g_{j+1,k}(y).$$

For all pair of points  $(y, t), (z, \tau) \in K : ||(y, t) - (z, \tau)|| \le r$  we have

$$|f_{N,k}(y,t) - f_{N,k}(z,\tau)| \le |f_{N,k}(y,t) - f_{N,k}(z,t)| + |f_{N,k}(z,t) - f_{N,k}(z,\tau)|.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{N,k}(z,t) - f_{N,k}(z,\tau)| &\leq |f_{N,k}(z,t) - f_N(z,\tau)| + |f_{N,k}(z,\tau) - f_N(z,t)| \\ &+ |f_N(z,t) - f_N(z,\tau)| \\ &\leq 2N|t - \tau| \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |g_{j,k}(z) - f(z,t_j)| + \overline{f_N}(r) \\ &\leq 2N|t - \tau| \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |g_{j,k}(z) - f(z,t_j)| + 6\overline{f}(r) \end{aligned}$$

and the first summand

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{N,k}(y,t) - f_{N,k}(z,t)| &\leq \alpha |g_{j,k}(y,t_j) - g_{j,k}(z,t_j)| + (1-\alpha)|g_{j+1,k}(y,t_{j+1}) \\ &- g_{j+1,k}(z,t_{j+1})| \\ &\leq \alpha_n r + \beta_n \overline{f}(r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\overline{f_{N,k}}(r) < 2rN \max_{1 \le j \le N} |g_{j,k}(z) - f(z,t_j)| + \alpha_n r + (\beta_n + 6)\overline{f}(r).$ 

For a given N > 0,  $\max_{1 \le j \le N} |g_{j,k}(z) - f(z,t_j)| \to 0$  because  $g_{j,k}(z) \to f(z,t_j)$ uniformly. Therefore there exists  $k_N$  such that  $\max_{1 \le j \le N} |g_{j,k}(z) - f(z,t_j)| \le \frac{1}{N}$ . As a result,  $\overline{f_{N,k_N}}(r) \le (\alpha_n + 2)r + (\beta_n + 6)\overline{f}(r)$ . We can take  $G_{n+1}$  as the set of all functions g(y,t) piece-wise linear in the second variable with nodes in  $\{\frac{j}{N}: N \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, 2...N\}$  and belonging to  $G_n$  in the first variable at the nodes.

Obviously, we can take  $\alpha_n = 2n$  and  $\beta_n = 6n$ . From this theorem we obtain that D[0,1] is a separable dual space and thus does not contain a copy of  $c_0$ .

**Corollary 1** If  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a convex compact then D(K) is separable.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can suppose that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the normed space with the Euclidian norm. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$ . Then there exists a unique point  $\bar{x} \in K$  such that  $\rho(x, \bar{x}) = \rho(x, K)$ . Consider the map  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to K$ :

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in K \\ \bar{x}, & \text{if } x \notin K \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for all x, y. Therefore if  $f \in D(K)$  then  $f \circ \varphi$  is an extension of f on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with preservation of norm. Since K is compact, there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $K \subset \lambda K_n$ . Thus every function  $f \in D(K)$  can be extended to a function  $F \in D(\lambda K_n)$ . The space  $D(\lambda K_n)$  is separable and so is D(K).

**Theorem 16** If X is a Banach space and  $K \subset X$  is a convex compact then the space D(K) is separable.

*Proof.* Consider the subspace  $Y = \overline{Lin}\{x : x \in K\}$ . It is separable because of compactness of K. By the Banach-Mazur theorem(see [1], Theorem 1.4.3), Y isometrically embeds into C[0, 1]. But C[0, 1] has a basis, therefore we can suppose that K is a compact in a Banach space X with a basis.

Denote by  $S_n$  the operator of the n-th partial sum in X with respect to a given basis. Then  $S_n \to I$  point-wise, hence  $S_n$  converges to I uniformly on K.

For a given n introduce a subspace  $E_n \subset D(K)$  by  $E_n = \{g : g(x) = g(S_n x)\}$ . Evidently,  $E_n$  is isomorphic to the corresponding  $D(S_n K)$ , so by corollary 1 it is separable. Let us show that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is dense in D(K). Let  $f \in D(K)$  and denote  $f_n(x) = f(S_n x)$ . Then  $f_n \in E_n$  and

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |f(S_n x) - f(x)| \le \bar{f}(||x - S_n x||) \to 0.$$

Therefore  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on K and the sequence of functions  $\frac{\overline{(f_n-f)}(r)}{r}$  converges to zero almost everywhere. Denote  $\alpha = \sup_n \|S_n\|$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| [f_n(x) - f(x)] - [f_n(y) - f(y)] \right| &\leq |f(x) - f(y)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \\ &\leq \overline{f}(||x - y||) + \overline{f}(||S_n x - S_n y||) \\ &\leq \overline{f}(||x - y||) + \overline{f}(\alpha ||x - y||). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_n \to f$  in D(K) and the theorem is proved.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Fernando Albiac, Nigel Kalton. *Topics in Banach Space Theory.* New York: Springer, 2006. 373 p.
- Kadets M.I., Kadets V.M. Series in Banach spaces. Conditional and unconditional convergence. – Basel: Birkhauser, 1997. – 159 p.
- 3. Fikhtengol'ts G.M. A course of differential and integral calculus. Moscow: Fizmalit, 2002. – V.3. – 727 p. (in Russian)